



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,141	03/29/2006	Gerardus P. Karman	2003P00711WOUS	7747
24737 7590 09/28/2011 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			EXAMINER SPAR, ILANA L	
			ART UNIT 2629	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 09/28/2011	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com
debbie.henn@philips.com
marianne.fox@philips.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/574,141	KARMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ILANA SPAR	2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 August 2011.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ An election was made by the applicant in response to a restriction requirement set forth during the interview on ____; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.
- 4) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 and 20-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 5a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18,20-28 is/are rejected.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 9) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-302) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The following Office Action is responsive to the amendments and remarks received on August 18, 2011.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 13-18, and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marz et al. (US Patent No. 6,593,904).

With reference to claim 1, Marz et al. teaches a display device, comprising:

a display panel (30) having a plurality of separately addressable pixels for displaying an overall three dimensional image, the overall three dimensional image being comprised of a number of different views (45, 46) as determined by a particular viewing angle, each view corresponding to one of a plurality of different first viewing angles with respect to a first axis (see column 6, lines 26-41),

the separately addressable pixels being grouped into a plurality of groups such that different pixels within a group correspond to said plurality of different views of the overall three dimensional image (see column 6, lines 26-41 – each of the groups comprises two pixels in a single row, with the left pixel of the group corresponding to

image 45 and the right pixel corresponding to image 46, which are two different views of the overall three dimensional image),

each pixel group including a number of pixels corresponding to a number of the different views of the three dimensional image, wherein each pixel of each group corresponds to one of the plurality of different views of the three dimensional image, (see column 6, lines 26-41 – each of the groups comprises two pixels in a single row, with the left pixel of the group corresponding to image 45 and the right pixel corresponding to image 46, which are two different views of the overall three dimensional image);

a display driver (18) for controlling an optical characteristic of each pixel to generate an image according to received image data (see column 5, lines 9-15); and

a colour compensation device (28) for further controlling light transmission characteristics of a plurality of pixels within each group to compensate for said optical characteristic of each pixel based on a second viewing angle in a second axis of the display panel, wherein the second axis is transverse to the first axis, wherein a correction applied to each of the plurality of pixels within the group is varied according to a pixel position within the group (see column 5, lines 28-53).

With reference to claim 2, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 2, and further teaches a back panel for providing a plurality of discrete sources of illumination (16), each group of pixels in the display panel being positioned to receive light from a respective one of the discrete sources of illumination (see column 4, lines 25-29).

With reference to claim 5, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 2, and further teaches that the display panel is a light-transmissive display panel adapted for viewing from a side opposite to the side on which the back panel is located (see column 4, lines 8-12).

With reference to claim 8, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 1, and further teaches that the optical characteristic is a light transmission characteristic and the display driver and colour compensation device are adapted to control the amount of light passing through each pixel according to a three dimensional colour image to be displayed (see column 5, lines 28-53 and column 6, lines 26-41).

With reference to claim 13, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 1, and further teaches that the colour compensation device is adapted to adjust a pixel drive voltage received from the display driver (see column 5, lines 28-53).

With reference to claim 14, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 1, and further teaches that the display panel includes colour clusters for each physical location within the image, a colour cluster comprising a plurality of said pixel groups each corresponding to a different primary colour, the colour compensation device adapted to control the optical characteristic of each pixel within a pixel group and each group within a cluster so as to produce an image colour for each colour cluster that is independent of viewing direction (see column 4, lines 21-24 and column 5, lines 28-53).

With reference to claim 15, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 1, and further teaches that inherent optical characteristics of the display panel

Art Unit: 2629

are configured such that viewing angle dependence is reduced or substantially minimised relative to the first axis which is a y-axis (see column 5, lines 28-53).

With reference to claim 16, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 15, and further teaches that the colour compensation device serves to reduce or substantially minimize viewing angle dependence relative to the second axis which is a x-axis, wherein the second axis is orthogonal to the y-axis (see column 5, lines 28-53 and column 6, lines 26-41).

With reference to claim 17, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 16, and further teaches the display device incorporated into an object, in which the x-axis is defined as the horizontal axis when the object is in normal use, and the y-axis is defined as the vertical axis when the object is in normal use (see column 5, lines 28-53 and column 6, lines 26-41).

With reference to claim 18, Marz et al. teaches a method for displaying an overall three dimensional image on a display device, the overall three dimensional image being comprised of a number of different views as determined by a particular viewing angle, each view displaying a different image from the other views, each view corresponding to one of a plurality of different viewing angles, the method comprising the steps of:

processing image data to form pixel data values for each one of a plurality of separately addressable pixels in a display panel, the pixels being grouped into a plurality of groups such that the different pixels within a group correspond to said plurality of different views of the overall three-dimensional image, a number of pixels in each group corresponding to a number of the different views, each pixel of each group

Art Unit: 2629

corresponding to one of the plurality of different views of the overall three dimensional image, wherein all the pixels in the plurality of groups corresponding to one of the views display the different image of the one of the views as a function of an angle with respect to a first axis, the pixel data values each for controlling light transmission characteristics of a respective pixel to generate the different image (see column 6, lines 26-41 - each of the groups comprises two pixels in a single row, with the left pixel of the group corresponding to image 45 and the right pixel corresponding to image 46, which are two different views of the overall three dimensional image);

applying colour correction values to a plurality of pixel data values within each group to compensate for an optical characteristic of each pixel in a second axis of the display panel, wherein the second axis is transverse to the first axis, by controlling an amount of light passing through each pixel according to a three dimensional colour image to be displayed, wherein the colour correction values applied to each of the plurality of pixels within the group are varied according to a pixel position within the group (see column 5, lines 28-53); and

using said corrected pixel data values to drive pixels of a display panel to generate said image (see column 5, lines 8-12 and lines 28-53 and column 6, lines 26-41).

With reference to claim 23, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 18, and further teaches that the colour correction values are derived from a transmission versus voltage characteristic of the display panel, the corrected pixel data

Art Unit: 2629

values being used to adjust a pixel drive voltage applied to the display panel (see column 5, lines 28-53 and column 6, lines 26-41).

With reference to claim 24, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 18, and further teaches that the pixels are configured in colour clusters for each physical location within the image, a colour cluster comprising a plurality of pixel groups each corresponding to a different primary colour, the colour correction values being adapted to control an optical characteristic of each pixel within a pixel group and each group within a cluster so as to produce an image colour for each colour cluster that is independent of viewing direction (see column 4, lines 21-24 and column 5, lines 28-53).

With reference to claim 25, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 18, and further teaches configuring inherent optical characteristics of the display panel such that viewing angle dependence is reduced or substantially minimized relative to the first axis which is a y-axis (see column 5, lines 28-53 and column 6, lines 26-41).

With reference to claim 26, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 25, and further teaches that the colour correction values are applied to reduce or substantially minimize viewing angle dependence relative to the second axis which is a x-axis, wherein the second axis is orthogonal to the y-axis (see column 5, lines 28-53 and column 6, lines 26-41).

With reference to claim 27, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 26, and further teaches that the x-axis is the horizontal axis when the display

panel is in normal use, and the y-axis is the vertical axis when the display panel is in normal use (see column 5, lines 28-53 and column 6, lines 26-41).

With reference to claim 28, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 18, and further teaches executing the method using a computer (see column 5, lines 8-26 - it is inherently known that in such displays a processor is used to execute the driving functions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

6. Claims 3, 4, 9-12, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marz et al. in view of Gelsey (US Patent No. 6,344,837).

With reference to claim 3, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 2, but fails to teach line sources of illumination.

Gelsey teaches a three dimensional display having a plurality of line sources of illumination (see column 4, lines 21-29 and column 6, lines 60-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that any type of backlight can be used to illuminate the three dimensional display pixels, as is well-known and commonly used in the art.

With reference to claim 4, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 2, but fails to teach point sources of illumination.

Gelsey teaches a three dimensional display having a plurality of point sources of illumination (see column 4, lines 21-29).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that any type of backlight can be used to illuminate the three dimensional display pixels, as is well-known and commonly used in the art.

With reference to claim 9, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 1, but fails to teach a look-up table.

Gelsey teaches that the colour compensation device comprises a look-up table containing correction values to be applied in respect of each pixel within a group (see column 10, lines 2-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a look-up table rather than carry out all necessary computations at the time of image display in order to reduce processing demands and lag time on the system.

With reference to claim 10, Marz et al. and Gelsey teach all that is required with reference to claim 9, and Marz et al. further teaches that the correction values are selected according to the viewing angle of a respective pixel within the group (see column 5, lines 28-53 and column 6, lines 43-59).

With reference to claim 11, Marz et al. and Gelsey teach all that is required with reference to claim 10, and Marz et al. further teaches that the correction values are

Art Unit: 2629

selected so as to substantially normalise an intensity of colour and/or its colour point in the colour triangle as displayed by a group of pixels to be independent of viewing angle (see column 5, lines 28-53).

With reference to claim 12, Marz et al. and Gelsey teach all that is required with reference to claim 9, and Gelsey further teaches that the look-up table includes substitution values or offset values as a function of viewing angle to be applied to a frame store (see column 9, line 15 to column 10, line 4).

With reference to claim 20, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 18, but fails to teach a look-up table.

Gelsey teaches that the colour correction values are obtained from a look-up table containing correction values to be applied in respect of each pixel within a group (see column 10, lines 2-4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a look-up table rather than carry out all necessary computations at the time of image display in order to reduce processing demands and lag time on the system.

With reference to claim 21, Marz et al. and Gelsey teach all that is required with reference to claim 20, and Marz et al. further teaches that the colour correction values are selected according to a viewing angle of a respective pixel within a group (see column 5, lines 28-53).

7. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marz et al. in view of Balogh (US Patent Publication No. 2001/0028356).

With reference to claim 6, Marz et al. teaches all that is required with reference to claim 1, but fails to teach a lenticular array.

Balogh teaches a lenticular array (20) positioned adjacent to the display panel, each lenticle within the lenticular array focusing light from selected pixels in the display panel (see paragraph 36).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate a lenticular array into the device as taught by Marz et al. to focus the light emerging from each pixel and ensure that it is directed toward the particular viewing angle to ensure that the three dimensional image is viewed properly.

With reference to claim 7, Marz et al. and Balogh teach all that is required with reference to claim 6, and Balogh further teaches that each lenticle within the lenticular array is associated with a group of pixels (see paragraph 37).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed August 18, 2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that Marz et al. fails to teach all that is required with reference to independent claims 1 and 18. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Applicant first argues on pages 10-13 that Marz fails to teach that "all the pixels in the plurality of groups correspond to one of the views, display the different image of the one of the views." Examiner contends that, particularly as shown in Figure 9 and at column 6, lines 26-41, Marz shows a plurality of pixel groups, each group containing a plurality of image views. In this embodiment, each group comprises two pixels in the

Art Unit: 2629

same row and in adjacent columns, in which the first (left) pixel of the group corresponds to a first image (45) and the second (right) pixel of the group corresponds to a second image (46). Based on this interpretation of Marz, which Examiner believes is correct, Marz comprises groups containing a plurality of pixels, each of the pixels in the group corresponding to a different view. Applicant further argued that the changing of the potential difference of the image data supplied to the display panel shows that Marz does not teach a plurality of pixel group, each of the groups containing a plurality of views. However, in view of the above clarification of the Marz reference, Examiner believes that the adjustment of the potential difference by column shows that each of the viewing angles is separately controlled and the data is varied according to the individual views within each group, as claims 1 and 18 specify.

Applicant has further argued on pages 13-14 that Marz fails to teach that "each of the plurality of pixels within the group is varied according to a pixel position within the group." Examiner respectfully disagrees, citing column 5, lines 28-53, in which Marz teaches adjusting the potential difference of each column individually to control the image being displayed on the display panel. Because each group is comprised of a first and second pixel taken from a first and second adjacent column, the pixels within each group are corrected separately from each other to generate the desired image, thus correcting the image "according to a pixel position within the group." Therefore, Examiner contends that Marz teaches all that is required with reference to independent claims 1 and 18, and maintains the rejection of all currently pending claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ILANA SPAR whose telephone number is (571)270-7537. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00-4:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (571)272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ILS

/Bipin Shalwala/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629